

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7           **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8           **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
9

10 ROCIO ADAME ARAUJO  
DE AGUILAR, et al.

CASE NO. CV-F-02-6527 REC LJO  
(Consolidated cases)  
**ORDER DENYING APPLICATION  
FOR AN ORDER SHOW CAUSE (Doc 105)**

11           Plaintiffs

12           vs.

13           NATIONAL RAILROAD  
14 PASSENGER CORPORATION, et al.,

15           Defendants.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_ /

17 ROCIO ADAME ALFARO, et al.,

CASE NO. CV F 03-5632 REC LJO

18           Plaintiffs,

19           vs.

20           NATIONAL RAILROAD  
PASSENGER CORPORATION, et al.,

21           Defendants.  
22 \_\_\_\_\_ /

23 ESTER AGUILAR, et al.,

CASE NO. CV F 03-5633 REC LJO

24           Plaintiffs,

25           vs.

26           NATIONAL RAILROAD  
PASSENGER CORPORATION, et al.,

27           Defendants.  
28 \_\_\_\_\_ /

1 CLAUDIA MUÑOZ, et al., CASE NO. CV-F 02-6559 REC LJO

2 Plaintiffs,

3 vs.

4 NATIONAL RAILROAD  
PASSENGER CORPORATION, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_ /

7 ROSA ROMERO, et al., CASE NO. CV-F- 03-5271 REC LJO

8 Plaintiffs,

9 vs.

10 NATIONAL RAILROAD  
PASSENGER CORPORATION, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_ /

13 In this railroad crossing collision action, several plaintiffs seek an order to show cause re  
14 contempt for failure of attorney Richard Fine (“Mr. Fine”) to comply with this Court’s June 1, 2005  
15 order. On June 20, 2005, this Court continued the consideration of the application for an order to show  
16 cause for twenty days based upon representations that Mr. Fine complied with the Court’s order. In the  
17 Court’s order, plaintiffs were instructed that if the plaintiffs desired to proceed with the application  
18 against Mr. Fine, they should file a list indicating the matters in the file they have not received from Mr.  
19 Fine, and to which they would be entitled. Plaintiffs have not filed any notice or list indicating that  
20 materials remain with Mr. Fine which have not been turned over to them. Accordingly, the application  
21 for an order to show cause re contempt against Mr. Fine is DENIED.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: July 13, 2005  
b9ed48

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ **Lawrence J. O'Neill**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24

25

26

27

28